

REMARKS

Claims 1-49 have been canceled, and claims 50-70 have been newly added by amendment. No new matter has been added.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The Applicant respectfully asserts that the objections to drawings are moot in view of the amendments.

Claims 2-5 and 17-23 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Applicant respectfully asserts that the 112, second paragraph rejections are moot in view of the amendments.

Claims 18, 20-23, and 42 are rejected under 35 USC 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicant respectfully asserts that the 101 rejections are moot in view of the amendments.

Claims 1, 2-5, 17, and 27-41 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Robinson, and claims 18-23 and 43-49 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Robinson in view of ReceiptCity. In view of the Remarks and amendments, the Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and requests allowance of the pending claims.

The Office Action asserts that the reply filed July 18, 2007 is not fully responsive. Although the Applicant respectfully disagrees, to advance prosecution, the Applicant further discusses the dependent claims below.

In view of the Remarks and amendments, the Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending claims.

Independent Claim 50

Claim 50 recites a method for generating electronic receipts, and includes the step of using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information.

On page 5, the Office Action mailed January 18, 2007 states:

**ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 01153.0001U3
APPLICATION NO. 09/776,420**

The word “object” has both software and graphical connotations. It can also mean a variable comprising both routines and data. What explicitly are you considering an electronic receipt object?

The Applicant would like to clarify what is meant by “object.” Specifically, the object of claim 50 comprises information (data) and one or more functions for that information (routines).

The Applicant respectfully asserts that claim 50 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object as recited in claim 50.

Claim 50 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object, as recited in claim 50.

Claim 50 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object, as recited in claim 50.

Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 discloses:

In steps 144 and 146, the transaction record is decrypted by the merchant computer and the transaction information is extracted. Note that, of course, the method of decryption will depend on the method used for encryption in step 115 of FIG. 1. Since the transaction record was originally encrypted under the direction of the merchant, the merchant computer simply uses the same private key to extract the transaction data. In the case where digital signature techniques are used, the validity of the digital signature may be verified in the following manner. First, the signature is decrypted to extract the signature data. The signature data may then be compared with data obtained by applying the predetermined hash function to the appended confirmation message.

As seen above, the cited language discloses a transaction record being decrypted by a merchant computer and the transaction information being extracted. In contrast, claim 50 recites a sales receipt object comprising transaction information and one or more functions for the transaction information. Accordingly, claim 50 is allowable for at least the reason that the cited language does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to

retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object, as recited in claim 50. By extension, claim 50 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson does not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device, as recited in claim 50. Claim 50 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format, as recited in claim 50. As discussed above, Robinson discloses encrypting a transaction record, which does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format, as recited in claim 50.

Dependent Claim 51

Claim 51 depends from claim 50, and so includes all the elements of claim 50. Accordingly, claim 51 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 51 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 51 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 51 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 51 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language.

Dependent Claim 52

Claim 52 depends from claim 50, and so includes all the elements of claim 50. Accordingly, claim 52 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity

disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 52 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 52 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 52 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 52 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising at least one of a MICROSOFT EXCEL file, MICROSOFT WORD file, MICROSOFT MONEY file, or a QUICKEN file.

Dependent Claim 53

Claim 53 depends from claim 50, and so includes all the elements of claim 50. Accordingly, claim 53 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 53 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 53 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 53 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 53 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein capturing comprises capturing transaction information comprising at least one of sale price, sale tax, total transaction amount, and information indicating completion of the transaction regarding each of the plurality of sales transactions.

Dependent Claim 54

Claim 54 depends from claim 51, and so includes all the elements of claims 50 and 51. Accordingly, claim 54 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 54 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 54 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 54 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 54 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 54 is further allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 51, wherein using comprises using the receipt generator to generate a first JAVA class sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information.

Dependent Claim 55

Claim 55 depends from claim 51, and so includes all the elements of claims 50 and 51. Accordingly, claim 55 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 55 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 55 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 55 is also allowable for at least the reason

that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 55 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 55 is further allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 51, wherein using comprises using the receipt generator to generate a first JAVA applet sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information.

Dependent Claim 56

Claim 56 depends from claim 51, and so includes all the elements of claims 50 and 51. Accordingly, claim 56 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 56 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 56 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 56 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 56 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 56 is further allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 51, wherein using comprises using the receipt generator to generate a first JAVA application sales receipt object that comprises first

transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more first functions for the transaction information.

Dependent Claim 57

Claim 57 depends from claim 51, and so includes all the elements of claims 50 and 51. Accordingly, claim 57 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 57 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 57 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 57 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 57 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 57 is further allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 51, wherein using comprises using the receipt generator to generate a first ActiveX sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information.

Dependent Claim 58

Claim 58 depends from claim 51, and so includes all the elements of claims 50 and 51. Accordingly, claim 58 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 58 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 58 is also

allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 58 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 58 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 58 is further allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 51, wherein using comprises using the receipt generator to generate a first SOAP sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information.

Dependent Claim 59

Claim 59 depends from claim 51, and so includes all the elements of claims 50 and 51. Accordingly, claim 59 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose a receipt generator generating a first sales receipt object. Claim 59 is also allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose executing a store function of the first sales receipt object to add information to the first sales receipt object. Claim 59 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 45-57 does not disclose executing a retrieve function of the first sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the first sales receipt object. Claim 59 is also allowable for at least the reason that Robinson at column 8, lines 6-11 does not disclose executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 59 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 50, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the first sales receipt object to convert the first transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 59 is further allowable for at least the reason

that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 51, wherein using comprises using the receipt generator by a third party to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information.

Independent Claim 60

Claim 60 recites a method for generating electronic receipts, and includes the step of using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. As discussed above, Robinson does not disclose using a receipt generator to generate a sale receipt object. Accordingly, claim 60 is allowable for at least the reason that Robinson does not disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information, as recited in claim 60. Claim 60 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 60 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 60 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 60 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format.

Dependent Claim 61

Claim 61 depends from claim 60, and so includes all the elements of claim 60. Accordingly, claim 61 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first

transaction information. Claim 61 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 61 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 61 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 61 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 61 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references to not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller.

Dependent Claim 62

Claim 62 depends from claim 61, and so includes all the elements of claims 60 and 61. Accordingly, claim 62 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 62 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 62 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 62 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 62 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 62 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references to not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating

at a location associated with the seller. Claim 62 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet.

Dependent Claim 63

Claim 63 depends from claim 62, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, and 62. Accordingly, claim 63 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 63 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language.

Dependent Claim 64

Claim 64 depends from claim 63, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, and 63. Accordingly, claim 64 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 64 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator a JAVA class sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information.

Dependent Claim 65

Claim 65 depends from claim 63, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, and 63. Accordingly, claim 65 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 65 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator a JAVA applet sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information.

Dependent Claim 66

Claim 66 depends from claim 63, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, and 63. Accordingly, claim 66 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 66 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator a JAVA application sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information.

Dependent Claim 67

Claim 67 depends from claim 63, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, and 63. Accordingly, claim 67 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 67 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator an ActiveX sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information.

Dependent Claim 68

Claim 68 depends from claim 63, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, and 63. Accordingly, claim 68 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 68 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator a SOAP sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information.

Dependent Claim 69

Claim 69 depends from claim 65, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, 63, and 65. Accordingly, claim 69 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 69 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator a JAVA applet sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information. Claim 69 is allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 65, further comprising executing an encryption function of the sales receipt object to encrypt or decrypt selected transaction information of the first sales receipt object.

Dependent Claim 70

Claim 70 depends from claim 67, and so includes all the elements of claims 60, 61, 62, 63, and 67. Accordingly, claim 70 is allowable for at least the reason that neither Robinson nor ReceiptCity disclose using the receipt generator to generate a first sales receipt object that comprises first transaction information from a first sales transaction and one or more functions for the first transaction information. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose transmitting over a second network the sales receipt object to a network accessible computing device. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a retrieve function of the sales receipt object to retrieve selected transaction information from the sales receipt object. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose displaying the selected retrieved transaction information on a user interface of the computing device. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 60, wherein the first network comprises at least a wireless network operating at a location associated with the seller. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 61, wherein the second network comprises at least the Internet. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 62, wherein executing a conversion function comprises executing a conversion function of the sales receipt object to convert the transaction information into a selected data format comprising a markup language. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 63, wherein generating comprises generating by the receipt generator an ActiveX sales receipt object that comprises transaction information from the sales transaction, a hyperlink to the determined accessory for the product, and one or more functions for the transaction information. Claim 70 is also allowable for at least the reason that the cited references do not disclose the method of claim 67, further comprising executing an encryption function of the sales receipt object to encrypt or decrypt selected transaction information of the first sales receipt object.

**ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 01153.0001U3
APPLICATION NO. 09/776,420**

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in the Application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass the application to issue. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-0629.

Respectfully submitted,

NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.

/Jason S. Jackson/

Jason S. Jackson
Registration No. 56,733

NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C.
Customer Number 23859
(678) 420-9300
(678) 420-9301 (fax)